

---

## Peer review process

In this format the reviewers are invited to express general opinions and specific comments on the value of the works analysed and in compliance with the editorial rules. The selected auditor who does not feel qualified to review the assigned text, or who knows that he is unable to perform the revision in the requested time, must communicate his decision to the editor, renouncing to participate in the revision process. All texts received for review are absolutely confidential. They should not be shown or discussed with other members not authorized by the journal editorial staff.

The reviewers can be members of the scientific Committee and are identified for their specific scientific and academic skills.

The revision must be objective and neutral. There are no comments and judgements that can invalidate the author's morals and ethics. The referee must express their views clearly and with the support of objective and documented arguments. Any statement, observation or argument given by referee must be duly certified by objective data.

The referee is invited to draw the immediate attention of the Director and the editorial board if they have a substantial similarity or overlap between the text in question and other already published documents of which he/she is aware. The information and evaluations of the auditors, anonymously, are communicated to the authors who will have to treasure the indications received to improve their contribution to be published. The auditor's judgement is unquestionable as well as the final decisions of the editor of the magazine and the editorial necklaces. The work of the auditor is done absolutely free and therefore it is not possible to make requests for economic contributions.